

**HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – FILED UNDER SEAL
PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER**

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN**

-----X
SILICON GRAPHICS, INC.

Plaintiff,

v.

ATI TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
ATI TECHNOLOGIES ULC and
ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC.,

Defendants.
-----X

X

:

:

:

:

:

:

:

:

:

:

:

X

Civil Action No. 06-C-0611-C

DEPOSITION DIGEST TRANSCRIPTS

Plaintiff Silicon Graphics, Inc. ("SGI") hereby submits the following Deposition Designation Transcript for those portions of the depositions of Robert Drebin, Mark Leather, Danny Loh and Mark Peercy played in live court on February 7-8, 2008.

Dated: February 11, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Philip L. Hirschhorn

Edward J. Pardon

Jeffrey S. Ward

MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH LLP

One South Pinckney Street, Suite 700

Madison, WI 53703-4257

(608) 257-3501

(608) 283-2275 (Fax)

Of counsel:

James M. Bollinger
Philip L. Hirschhorn
David W. Marston Jr.
Steven Underwood
Jennifer L. Dereka
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
101 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10178
(212) 309-6000
(212) 309-6001 (Fax)


Attorneys for Plaintiff Silicon Graphics, Inc.

Case Clip(s) Detailed Report
Thursday, February 07, 2008, 9:49:34 PM

SGI v ATI - Trial

 PEERCY, MARK S. (Vol. 01) - 05/18/2007

1 CLIP (RUNNING 00:16:57.700)

 Invalidity no obj

MP-*

14 SEGMENTS (RUNNING 00:16:57.700)



1. PAGE 18:12 TO 18:18 (RUNNING 00:00:23.100)

12 Q Why did you join Silicon Graphics in 1994?
13 A Because I was very enthusiastic about working in 3D
14 rendering and realtime rendering.
15 Q Certainly there were other companies that did that.
16 Why did you pick Silicon Graphics?
17 A Silicon Graphics was at its peak and a very
18 exciting opportunity.

2. PAGE 18:19 TO 18:24 (RUNNING 00:00:09.900)

19 Q Did you receive offers from other companies to work
20 in 3D rendering?
21 A I did not.
22 Q Did you seek offers from anybody else in 3D
23 rendering?
24 A I did not.

3. PAGE 20:01 TO 20:09 (RUNNING 00:00:27.800)

00020:01 Q You said that Silicon Graphics was at its peak when
02 you joined. Did you understand at that time when you
03 joined Silicon Graphics that they were the state of the
04 art -- had the state of the art technology in 3D
05 rendering?
06 A I did.
07 Q And was that one of the reasons you chose to work
08 at Silicon Graphics?
09 A It is.

4. PAGE 64:02 TO 64:22 (RUNNING 00:01:30.500)

02 Q I'd like to talk a little bit about the work that
03 led up to the '327 patent, and I think we discussed it
04 briefly as coming out of the Bali project. Do you
05 recall that?
06 A I do recall that.
07 Q And specifically, do you recall discussions early
08 on about enhancing shading as it was implemented in the
09 InfiniteReality with John Airey?
10 A I do.
11 Q What do you recall about those discussions?
12 A We had a number of technology demonstrations that
13 rode on InfiniteReality as a platform that demonstrated
14 the potential advantage of programmable shading. And we
15 recognized the deficiencies of the InfiniteReality
16 hardware specifically in enabling us to do the kinds of
17 things that we were investigating.
18 Q And specifically, that related to the use of fixed
19 point formats through -- in the rasterization in the
20 framebuffer?
21 A It had to do with use of fixed point in certain
22 portions of the InfiniteReality pipeline.

5. PAGE 65:04 TO 65:19 (RUNNING 00:01:14.000)

04 Q Do you recall any sort of specific discussion of
05 the need to have floating point pixel values stored in a

MP-*

Case Clip(s) Detailed Report
 Thursday, February 07, 2008, 9:49:34 PM

SGI v ATI - Trial

06 framebuffer, as you define it, in your work on Bali?
 07 A I do.
 08 Q And what was the importance of having floating
 09 point values in a framebuffer for Bali?
 10 A So the hardware that SGI was designing was an
 11 implementation of the OpenGL standard, and the OpenGL
 12 pipeline has a specific architecture. And as a result,
 13 it itself imposes constraints on where data can be
 14 brought into the system and where data can be sent out
 15 of the system. One of our objectives in the development
 16 of our technology was to minimize the change that would
 17 need to happen between a graphics architecture, such as
 18 InfiniteReality, in order to support programmable
 19 shading, and those fed into the Bali discussion.

6. PAGE 65:20 TO 65:25 (RUNNING 00:00:14.900)

20 Q Okay. The idea that if you -- strike that.
 21 In programmable shaders as you were working on
 22 at that time, were pixel values stored in a framebuffer
 23 recycled through the pipeline?
 24 A At the time?
 25 Q Yes.

7. PAGE 66:01 TO 66:15 (RUNNING 00:00:41.200)

00066:01 A Our implementation recycled pixel values through
 02 the graphics pipeline.
 03 Q And they would come from the framebuffer in
 04 floating point format?
 05 A Could you clarify whether we're talking
 06 InfiniteReality experiments or --
 07 Q No. I'm talking about the work you did on Bali.
 08 A So the work that we did on Bali. So our work
 09 primarily was on OpenGL software simulators --
 10 Q Right.
 11 A -- which would have been implemented in hardware by
 12 the Bali hardware team. In the OpenGL software
 13 simulators, we extended what the OpenGL pipeline
 14 describes as the framebuffer to support floating point
 15 values.

8. PAGE 69:10 TO 69:12 (RUNNING 00:00:22.700)

10 Q Let me ask it the way I asked it, though. Were you
 11 proponents of moving to a full floating point graphics
 12 pipeline as described in the '327 patent?

9. PAGE 69:14 TO 71:25 (RUNNING 00:03:38.000)

14 THE WITNESS: So John Airey and I extended the
 15 OpenGL architecture by introducing floating points --
 16 floating point at different points in the OpenGL
 17 pipeline. Bali was a hardware implementation of the
 18 OpenGL architecture that we had designed. And so to the
 19 extent that Bali reflected the OpenGL architecture that
 20 we were working on, we were proponents of introducing
 21 floating point in the texture unit, in other portions of
 22 the graphics pipeline.
 23 MR. BOLLINGER: Q. What other portions of the
 24 graphics pipeline were you proponents of introducing
 25 floating point format?
 00070:01 A So we chose to -- in our implementation chose to
 02 extend the framebuffer, where framebuffer is defined as
 03 that portion of memory that is scanned out for display,
 04 to hold floating point. We also suggested introducing
 05 floating point in the scan converter, which takes color
 06 values from vertices on a triangle and scan-converts
 07 them to pixels, as well as the texture unit.

Case Clip(s) Detailed Report
 Thursday, February 07, 2008, 9:49:34 PM

SGI v ATI - Trial

08 Q You were proponents of doing those parts of the
 09 rasterization process in floating point?
 10 A We were.
 11 Q And you were proponents of storing data, pixel
 12 data, in the framebuffer; is that correct?
 13 A That's correct.
 14 Q And you were also proponents of pulling pixel data
 15 stored in the framebuffer and recycling it?
 16 A We were.
 17 Q And that data being in floating point format?
 18 A In our implementation, yes, that data was in
 19 floating point format.
 20 Q And you did that in full software emulation?
 21 A We did.
 22 Q And what were the advantages when you did it that
 23 way?
 24 A The primary advantage is that when you took one
 25 pass through the graphics pipeline --
 00071:01 Q Right.
 02 A -- you could preserve all of the information or the
 03 bulk of the information that had been computed during
 04 that pass in order to use it later.
 05 What this enables is the ability outside the
 06 graphics pipeline to dissect a particular operation into
 07 component pieces, each of which could be executed on the
 08 graphics pipeline so that an application could work at a
 09 much higher level of abstraction.
 10 Q By using floating point data for storage of pixel
 11 values in the framebuffer, do you avoid clamping and
 12 loss of precision and range associated with values as
 13 they go through the pipeline and when you recycle those
 14 values?
 15 A Yes.
 16 Q And that's a benefit?
 17 A That is a benefit.
 18 Q And were you able to demonstrate that benefit when
 19 you did that work on the Bali project?
 20 A We were able to demonstrate that benefit in the
 21 software --
 22 Q Simulator?
 23 A -- simulator. And to the extent that the software
 24 simulator was encoded in the hardware, that benefit
 25 would carry over.

10. PAGE 105:18 TO 106:02 (RUNNING 00:01:03.300)

18 MR. BOLLINGER: Q. Do you recall the
 19 simulator simulating a floating point framebuffer?
 20 A I do.
 21 Q Do you know who added that to the simulator, that
 22 capability?
 23 A I don't recall for certain.
 24 Q Do you recall when that work was done?
 25 A Not specifically, although it would have been at
 00106:01 the earliest at the end of '96 to '97 to '98 kind of
 02 time frame.

11. PAGE 109:25 TO 111:20 (RUNNING 00:02:36.300)

25 Q And at some point, do you recall that it had been
 00110:01 canceled?
 02 A I recall that it was canceled.
 03 Q Do you know why it was canceled?
 04 A I do.
 05 Q I'm sorry?
 06 A I do.
 07 Q What was the reason that you understood?
 08 A My understanding is that the product was not going

Case Clip(s) Detailed Report
 Thursday, February 07, 2008, 9:49:34 PM

SGI v ATI - Trial

09 to be deliverable.
 10 Q And who did you gain that understanding from?
 11 A It came, in part, from my own assessment as
 12 feedback to the team.
 13 Q How far along had the product developed by the time
 14 they decided to cancel it?
 15 A I believe that it was in simulation phase, so that
 16 at least some portions of the system could be simulated.
 17 Q When you say simulation phase, do you mean -- what
 18 do you mean precisely?
 19 A So the way that we developed the chips at SGI was
 20 to write simulators in programming language such as C so
 21 that you could provide stimulus to the system and see
 22 how it might perform before you chose to what's known as
 23 tape it out, which is deliver the chips and have them
 24 produced.
 25 Q Do you know whether these simulations had been run
 00111:01 for more than -- strike that.
 02 Which chips had been simulated at the time
 03 that it had been canceled; do you know?
 04 A I do not know for certain.
 05 Q What is your understanding as you sit here today?
 06 A So my understanding is that some functionality in
 07 all of the chips would need to have been simulated.
 08 Q My question is how much had that been already done?
 09 Do you know whether the functionality for the R Chip had
 10 been fully simulated?
 11 A So that I don't know.
 12 Q Do you know whether the function for the G Chip had
 13 been fully simulated?
 14 A I don't know.
 15 Q Or the N Chip?
 16 A (Witness shakes head.)
 17 Q Or the M Chip?
 18 A I don't know.
 19 Q So it could have been that all the simulations had
 20 been completed, you don't know at this point?

12. PAGE 111:23 TO 113:20 (RUNNING 00:03:45.200)

23 THE WITNESS: That could have been true.
 24 MR. BOLLINGER: Q. And do you know the
 25 results of any of the simulations that were run on the
 00112:01 chips?
 02 A I don't recall specifically.
 03 Q Did anybody tell you that the product could not --
 04 was not deliverable?
 05 A So I had conversations which tried to determine
 06 whether we were converging from an engineering
 07 standpoint on delivery of the product.
 08 Q And who were those conversations with?
 09 A I recall a conversation with Brian Cabral.
 10 Q What was -- What do you recall about his
 11 assessment?
 12 A I recall we shared a concern for the challenge that
 13 the team was facing delivering the product.
 14 Q And what in particular -- what aspect of the
 15 product was causing the challenge?
 16 A My belief is that the product was not rescopeed when
 17 the skill set of the team changed, when people on the
 18 team, important people on the team, were leaving the
 19 project.
 20 Q When you say rescopeed, what did you mean? Scaled
 21 back?
 22 A So a team can deliver -- a given team has the
 23 capability to deliver a certain device. And if you have
 24 more skilled people, you might be able to deliver a

Case Clip(s) Detailed Report
Thursday, February 07, 2008, 9:49:34 PM

SGI v ATI - Trial

25 grander device. So scaled back is one option, for
00113:01 example, for dealing with the change in the team.
02 Q And at the time that the product had -- the Bali
03 project had been canceled, there was a substantial
04 exodus of graphics engineers from Silicon Graphics?
05 A Yes.
06 Q People like Mr. Buchner, Mr. Drebin had departed.
07 A Yes.
08 Q Mr. Leather, I think, had left by that time, too?
09 A Yes.
10 Q Gordon Elders, he had gone by then?
11 A I don't recall Gordon's specific time of departure.
12 Q Mr. Montrym had departed by then, too?
13 A Yes.
14 Q Mark Grossman, was he gone, also?
15 A I believe so.
16 Q Do you know whether Mr. Loh was still there at that
17 point or not?
18 A I don't know for certain.
19 Q How about Mr. Baum?
20 A I believe Mr. Baum had departed.

13. PAGE 113:21 TO 113:25 (RUNNING 00:00:17.100)

21 Q We mentioned a little bit about the simulated
22 framebuffer. Were you aware of any other work at SGI on
23 a simulated framebuffer other than the one that you did?
24 The simulated floating point framebuffer?
25 A No.

14. PAGE 114:01 TO 114:08 (RUNNING 00:00:33.700)


00114:01 Q In the demise of Bali, did you disagree with the
02 decision?
03 A No.
04 Q Were you disappointed by the decision?
05 A Yes.
06 Q Did you and Dr. Airey have different views on
07 whether the decision to cancel Bali was the right one?
08 A I don't know.

TOTAL: 1 CLIP FROM 1 DEPOSITION (RUNNING 00:16:57.700)
--

SGI v ATI - Trial

 DREBIN, ROBERT (Vol. 01) - 03/21/2007

1 CLIP (RUNNING 00:08:19.200)

 invalidity

3 SEGMENTS*(RUNNING 00:08:19.200)



1. PAGE 122:15 TO 123:11 (RUNNING 00:01:44.900)

15 Q. Okay. And do you recall any other ideas or
16 projects or anything that you worked with Mark Percy
17 on?
18 A. I think pertaining to this patent, that we had
19 a discussion on high dynamic range.
20 Q. High dynamic range. All right. Why does that
21 pertain to this patent; when you say "this patent," you
22 mean the '327 patent?
23 A. Let me get the right -- yes.
24 Q. Okay. What do you recall about that
25 discussion?
00123:01 A. I recall discussing Greg Ward's work on high
02 dynamic range in his radiance package.
03 Q. And you remember discussing that with who?
04 A. I believe I had a discussion with Mark Percy
05 and I believe John Airey on that subject.
06 Q. And when did this discussion take place; do
07 you have any idea?
08 A. I don't remember.
09 Q. It was while you were an employee of Silicon
10 Graphics, right?
11 A. Yes.

2. PAGE 124:22 TO 127:04 (RUNNING 00:03:48.800)

22 Q. All right. Is there anything else you can
23 tell me about that conversation other than the fact that
24 the three of you discussed Greg Ward's paper and this
25 color format?
00125:01 A. I believe we had a discussion on his noted
02 comments on the limitations or the -- you know, not
03 limitations, but what he -- his observations on -- Greg
04 Ward's observations on the format. And we had a
05 discussion on what -- how many bits -- if you were in a
06 different format, and I don't remember who, you know, I
07 don't remember the starting thing because I don't really
08 remember why -- how I became involved. But how many --
09 you know, Greg Ward made the observation that 8-bits of
10 exponent was overkill for color.
11 Q. Okay. That's what Greg said in his paper.
12 A. Yes.
13 Q. And I'm asking you, what do you recall about
14 the conversation?
15 A. So then what would be a -- I believe we
16 discussed a format. What format in terms of what would
17 be the right number of bits of exponent.
18 Q. For color?
19 A. For color.
20 Q. And what did you guys decide?
21 A. I believe -- I believe we came up with for a
22 16-bit word for lighting, that a 5-bit mantissa --
23 excuse me, a 5-bit exponent and an 11-bit exponent.
24 Excuse me. 10-bit. Sorry. 10-bit mantissa, 5-bit
25 exponent, would be a good tradeoff.
00126:01 Q. And when you said "we came up with that," how

Case Clip(s) Detailed Report
Thursday, February 07, 2008, 9:31:39 PM

SGI v ATI - Trial

02 did you come up with that?
03 A. I don't remember.
04 Q. But it was during the discussions with John
05 Airey and Mark Peercy that this concept came up?
06 A. I believe the concept came up during
07 discussions with Mark Peercy and John Airey.
08 Q. With you being part of those conversations.
09 Do you recall where the conversation took place?
10 A. At -- the conversation took place at SGI.
11 Q. Do you remember where at SGI?
12 A. I believe it was in Building 7. I'm not sure.
13 Q. I'm curious. Do you have any sort of
14 particular specific recollection about where it took
15 place?
16 A. I believe -- I have a vague recollection of a
17 conference room.
18 Q. Do you have a recollection of who else was
19 attending the meeting?
20 A. I thought it was -- I thought that discussion,
21 which was an informal discussion, was those two.
22 Q. You don't know if anybody else was there or
23 not?
24 A. I don't remember anyone else being there.
25 Q. Do you remember the context of the discussion
00127:01 any more than what you have just relayed to me, other
02 than the fact that you were talking about Greg Ward's
03 paper?
04 A. No.

3. PAGE 127:14 TO 129:02 (RUNNING 00:02:45.500)

14 Q. Well, my question is, were you discussing
15 rasterization with John Airey and Mark Peercy in that
16 conference room that day?
17 A. I don't believe so.
18 Q. Were you discussing storing data in a
19 framebuffer?
20 A. I don't believe so.
21 Q. Were you discussing geometric process of data?
22 A. I don't believe so.
23 Q. It was merely a discussion about what format
24 might be advantageous for color values?
25 A. I think I understand, I just want to make sure
00128:01 I heard the question.
02 Q. The discussion was on what would be an
03 advantageous format for color values.
04 A. I believe the discussion was on a format or
05 really a distribution. How much precision in range
06 tradeoff would be best for high dynamic range.
07 Q. For color values.
08 A. For color values, yes.
09 Q. And instead of 8-bit for an exponent, you,
10 John and Mark concluded 5-bit would be better?
11 A. No. Well, no, Greg Ward noted that 8-bits was
12 overkill.
13 Q. Right.
14 A. And he was likely constrained to trying to
15 work with -- since his product was a software renderer,
16 it was an easy format to work in bytes. And so my
17 recollection was discussing, when he made the
18 observation, that I think he makes an observation that
19 the brightness between the darkest thing we can see and
20 the sun is some power of two. And I think that that
21 was -- I think that came into the range where a 5-bit
22 exponent was -- at least that's my memory of that.
23 Q. So the discussion was about a Ward paper that
24 dealt with software rendering and the dynamic range that


Case Clip(s) Detailed Report
Thursday, February 07, 2008, 9:31:39 PM

SGI v ATI - Trial

25 he was commenting on in that paper?
00129:01 A. We were discussing the representation of
02 color. We weren't talking about a software renderer.

 Leather, Mark (Vol. 01) - 12/07/2007

1 CLIP (RUNNING 00:05:58.100)

 invalidity

ML-*

10 SEGMENTS (RUNNING 00:05:58.100)



1. PAGE 6:20 TO 6:25 (RUNNING 00:00:19.200)

20 And I would like you to start, if you
21 could for me, by giving me your full name and also
22 your current home address.
23 A. My full name is Mark Marriott Leather.
24 The home address is 265 Montclair Road, Los Gatos,
25 California.

2. PAGE 14:10 TO 14:18 (RUNNING 00:00:30.700)

10 Q. Okay. And so in 1989 you joined Silicon
11 Graphics. And how long did you work there?
12 A. Approximately eight years.
13 Q. So do you recall the date that you
14 departed Silicon Graphics?
15 A. I don't recall the exact date.
16 Q. It would have been approximately 1997 at
17 some point?
18 A. It was sometime in 1997.

3. PAGE 26:12 TO 26:21 (RUNNING 00:00:37.600)

12 Q. In designing rasterization circuits, is
13 there any way to simulate their performance before
14 tapeout?
15 A. Yes, there is.
16 Q. And how do you do that?
17 A. The RTL code that defines the logic can
18 be simulated.
19 Q. And that simulation is done on a computer
20 system?
21 A. Yes.

4. PAGE 27:02 TO 27:19 (RUNNING 00:01:10.700)

02 Q. And what was the purpose of doing the
03 simulations on a workstation?
04 A. The main purpose was for functional
05 completion.
06 Q. Was one of the purposes to confirm
07 that the design would perform effectively without
08 investing in the manufacturer of the dies for the
09 foundry?
10 A. That was the goal. It didn't always
11 happen.
12 Q. All right. But that -- is that simulation
13 practice still continued today?
14 A. Yes, it is.
15 Q. So as you design your latest graphics
16 chips, you would still typically attempt to simulate
17 them in advance of sending the tape to the -- or the
18 tapeout to the foundry?

SGI v ATI - Trial

19 A. Yes.

5. PAGE 55:19 TO 55:20 (RUNNING 00:00:05.000)

19 Q. Do you know Mark Percy?

20 A. Yes.

6. PAGE 56:06 TO 56:24 (RUNNING 00:01:37.200)

06 Q. Were you aware of his work at SGI on the
 07 Bali project?

08 A. Yeah.

09 Q. And what were you aware of?

10 A. I know that he had done some research into
 11 some ideas and concepts that got incorporated into
 12 the design.

13 Q. And what ideas and concepts are you aware
 14 of that he did research on?

15 A. So this would be the idea of multipassing
 16 data through the frame buffer.

17 Q. Okay. Was it your understanding that that
 18 involved the use of floating point formatted data in
 19 the multipass operation through the frame buffer?

20 A. Yes, that was my understanding.

21 Q. And did you understand that that was his
 22 concept that he was working on at SGI?

23 A. I believe it was him and John Airey who --
 24 together.

7. PAGE 59:25 TO 60:05 (RUNNING 00:00:12.000)

25 Q. Okay. But do you recall the use of a
 00060:01 floating point frame buffer as it related to Bali?

02 A. Yes.

03 Q. And that was Dr. Percy and Dr. Airey's
 04 work?

05 A. Yeah.

8. PAGE 130:18 TO 130:20 (RUNNING 00:00:08.600)

18 Q. All right. Did you know of any other
 19 floating point frame buffers that were built at
 20 SGI during that time period?

9. PAGE 130:22 TO 131:02 (RUNNING 00:00:27.400)

22 THE WITNESS: So there was another project
 23 going on at the same time at Bali in a different
 24 division, and I don't know the internal code name
 25 for that project. But it was a low end product. It
 00131:01 was designed to target the \$10- to \$20,000 product
 02 rather than the half million.

10. PAGE 131:18 TO 132:10 (RUNNING 00:00:49.700)

18 Q. Well, let me ask you this. Did you
 19 actually see a second floating point frame buffer
 20 design at SGI?

21 A. Well, my recollection was that this other
 22 project also had a floating point frame buffer.

23 Q. And why do you recall that? What do you
 24 recall about it?

25 A. Just that the work that Airey and Percy
 00132:01 were doing was the -- it wasn't just specific to
 02 Bali. It was a direction for SGI.

03 Q. Okay. So this other work was an extension
 04 of Airey and Percy's work --

05 A. Yeah.


06 Q. -- in a different direction?

07 A. Yeah.

Case Clip(s) Detailed Report
Thursday, February 07, 2008, 9:31:39 PM

SGI v ATI - Trial

08 Q. Okay. Any other floating point frame
09 buffer you were aware of at SGI?
10 A. No.

 Loh, Danny (Vol. 01) - 11/09/2007

1 CLIP (RUNNING 00:07:23.900)

 DANNY LOH, ...

DL-*

8 SEGMENTS (RUNNING 00:07:23.900)



1. PAGE 7:06 TO 7:13 (RUNNING 00:00:12.200)

06 DANNY LOH,
07 The deponent herein, was sworn and
08 testified as follows:
09
10 EXAMINATION
11 BY MR. BOLLINGER:
12 Q. Good morning, Mr. Loh. How are you?
13 A. Good.

2. PAGE 8:02 TO 8:11 (RUNNING 00:00:30.700)

02 Q. Is there -- can you give me your current
03 address.
04 A. 910 Continental Drive, Menlo Park.
05 Q. All right. Are you currently employed?
06 A. Yes.
07 Q. And who do you work for?
08 A. I work for Adobe System.
09 Q. All right. And how long have you worked
10 for Adobe System?
11 A. Since early February of this year so...

3. PAGE 32:09 TO 32:20 (RUNNING 00:00:42.600)

09 And what project did you work on when
10 you came back to work with Dan the second time at
11 Silicon Graphics?
12 A. It was on a project code name Bali.
13 Q. Okay. And what did he ask you to help on
14 the Bali project?
15 A. I had two roles: One is software
16 engineering manager; and the second role was I
17 was an IPPD, which stands for Integrated Product
18 something -- Product -- Process -- Integrated
19 Product Process Development. That's right. I
20 was a software representative to the IPPD team.

4. PAGE 36:04 TO 36:11 (RUNNING 00:00:39.900)

04 Q. Well, what aspects of the technology were
05 you responsible for as a manager?
06 A. I see. So the software group has two
07 responsibilities in the development of Bali: One --
08 and it depends on different phases in the project.
09 So the early phase we were actually responsible for
10 writing software simulators to simulate the graphics
11 pipeline.

5. PAGE 39:01 TO 39:18 (RUNNING 00:01:36.500)

00039:01 A. So the question you asked me before, did I
02 work with John Airey on the floating point on Bali?

Case Clip(s) Detailed Report
 Thursday, February 07, 2008, 9:31:39 PM

SGI v ATI - Trial

03 Q. Right.
 04 A. Yes.
 05 Q. Okay. What do you remember working on?
 06 A. So this is primary, the first time I
 07 worked for SGI, this is prior to my departure.
 08 Q. Okay.
 09 A. I worked on the -- let's see if I
 10 remember. Oh, I remember. So I wrote sort of the
 11 simulation framework to evaluate floating point
 12 formats in the frame buffer. Yeah.
 13 Q. Okay. Was that to -- was that simulation
 14 to examine the use of s10e5 as a possible format?
 15 A. Yes, that includes that.
 16 Q. And that was -- you were doing that work
 17 with John Airey?
 18 A. With John Airey and Mark Peercy.

6. PAGE 40:04 TO 40:17 (RUNNING 00:01:25.500)

04 Let me have marked as the next exhibit a
 05 document with Bates Nos. S0907786 to S0907788.
 06 (Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 180
 07 was marked for identification.)
 08 BY MR. BOLLINGER:
 09 Q. And before you get too far into the
 10 document. It's a highly confidential document. I
 11 just want to make sure that the "Danny" referenced
 12 in the very first paragraph is -- do you understand
 13 that to be you?
 14 A. Yes.
 15 Q. Okay. Do you remember doing this
 16 comparative test?
 17 A. Yes.

7. PAGE 41:03 TO 41:25 (RUNNING 00:01:31.500)

03 Q. Okay. What do you recall about arith.c?
 04 A. Arith.c is a software program that
 05 provides the framework to investigate precision --
 06 extended range and precision -- extended range
 07 precision of -- let me see. It's a long time ago.
 08 Yes. So arith.c is the framework which
 09 allows you to experiment with different types of
 10 arithmetic.
 11 Q. Okay. In here the discussion of s10e5,
 12 what does that mean, "s10e5"? Can you describe
 13 what that nomenclature refers to?
 14 A. Yes. That specified the representations
 15 of numbers.
 16 Q. Okay. So what does it actually mean,
 17 though? It's a 16-bit number?
 18 A. So here it's a 16-bit number. "S10" refer
 19 to one part of a number, and "e5" refer to the --
 20 you know, how many bits you dedicate for each
 21 moment, how many bits you dedicate for the mantissa.
 22 Yeah.
 23 Q. Okay. So the "10" refers to the bits
 24 dedicated to the mantissa?
 25 A. I think so, yeah.

8. PAGE 42:01 TO 42:11 (RUNNING 00:00:45.000)

00042:01 Q. What does the "s" stand for?
 02 A. The "s" stands for assign bit.
 03 Q. How do you designate the bias in that
 04 particular expression?
 05 A. Yeah. Let me think about that. That's a
 06 very difficult question.

Case Clip(s) Detailed Report
Thursday, February 07, 2008, 9:31:39 PM

SGI v ATI - Trial

07 Q. All right. Do you recall what bias
08 you were operating on when you were doing these
09 experiments?
10 A. Yeah. We actually experimented with quite
11 a few biases.

TOTAL: 3 CLIPS FROM 3 DEPOSITIONS (RUNNING 00:21:41.200)